Open Access

BCMA-targeted therapies for multiple myeloma: latest updates from 2024 ASH annual meeting

Huijian Zheng^{1†}, Huajian Xian^{2†}, Wenjie Zhang^{1†}, Chaoqun Lu², Renyao Pan¹, Han Liu^{2*} and Zhenshu Xu^{1*}

Abstract

B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA) is currently the most extensively explored target for multiple myeloma (MM). BCMA-targeted therapies such as antibody-drug conjugate (ADC), bispecific antibodies (BsAbs), chimeric antigen receptor T(CAR-T) cell have shown promising therapeutic prospects in MM. We have summarized the latest reports on the three types of drugs for MM at the 2024 ASH Annual Meeting.

Keywords BCMA-targeted therapies, Multiple myeloma, ASH 2024

To the editor

In recent years, BCMA-targeted drugs have greatly improved the prognosis of patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (R/RMM) [1]. Researchers are also exploring the possibility of applying these drugs to newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (NDMM). We have summarized the latest reports on antibody-drug conjugates (ADC), bispecific antibodies (BsAbs), and chimeric antigen receptor T(CAR-T) cell therapy for MM at the ASH 2024 Annual Meeting.

 $^{\dagger}\text{Huijian}$ Zheng, Huajian Xian and Wenjie Zhang contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Han Liu liuhan68@sjtu.edu.cn Zhenshu Xu xuzs@fjmu.edu.cn ¹Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory on Hematology, Fujian Institute of Hematology, Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, 29 Xinquan Rd, Fuzhou 350001, China ²Shanghai Institute of Hematology, State Key Laboratory of Medical

²Shanghai Institute of Hematology, State Key Laboratory of Medical Genomics, Ruijin Hospital, National Research Center for Translational Medicine at Shanghai, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China

Belantamab mafodotin

Belantamab mafodotin (belamaf) was the first BCMAtargeted ADC approved by the US Food and Drug Administration(FDA), but it was withdrawn from the US market due to the failure of DREAMM-3, a phase 3 clinical trial, to meet its clinical endpoint. However, in recent years, efforts have been made to explore new clinical trial data to support the drug's return to the market. Hungria et al. reported the results of DREAMM-7, a phase 3 trial comparing the efficacy of belamaf, bortezomib and dexamethasone (BVd) and daratumumab, bortezomib and dexamethasone (DVd) [2]. A total of 494 patients were included and randomly divided in a 1:1 ratio. The patients in the belamaf treatment group had significantly longer progression free survival (PFS) than the control group (36.6 months vs. 13.4 months), and also had a higher rate of complete response (CR). At the same time, they found that patients in the belamaf treatment group were more likely to achieve minimal residual disease (MRD) negativity and maintain deep remission [3]. Another study analyzed R/R MM who were at first relapse after 1 prior line of therapy (LOT) in DREAMM-8 trial, which compared the efficacy of belamaf + pomalidomide + dexamethasone(BPd) and



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.



Product	Target	Research design	Indication	Туре	Clinical trial name	Clinical trial identifier	Refs.
Belantamab mafodotin	BCMA	BVd vs. DVd	R/R MM	ADC	DREAMM-7	NCT04246047	[2–3]
		BPd vs. PVd	R/R MM		DREAMM-8	NCT04484623	[4]
		Belantamab mafodotin + VRd	NDMM		DREAMM-9	NCT04091126	[5]
Teclistamab	BCMA x CD3	teclistamab + DP	R/R MM	BsAb	MajesTEC-2 TRIMM-2	NCT04722146 NCT04108195	[6]
		teclistamab + lenalidomide/teclistamab alone	NDMM		MajesTEC-4/EMN30	NCT04722146	[7]
		teclistamab + DRd/teclistamab + DVRd	NDMM		MajesTEC-5	NCT05695508	[8]
Cilta-cel	BCMA	Cilta-cel vs. SoC	R/R MM	CAR-T	CARTITUDE-4	NCT04181827	[9]
Anito-cel	BCMA	monotherapy	R/R MM	CAR-T	NA	NCT04155749	[10]
		monotherapy	R/R MM		iMMagine-1	NCT05396885	[11]

Table 1 The characteristics of BCMA-targeted therapies regimen

R/R: relapsed/refractory; BCMA, b-cell maturation antigen; MM: multiple myeloma; ADC: antibody-drug conjugate; BsAbs: bispecific antibody, CAR-T: chimeric antigen receptor T cell; NDMM: newly diagnosed multiple myeloma; Cilta-cel: ciltacabtagene autoleucel; Anito-cel: anitocabtagene autoleucel; SoC: standard of care; BVd: belantamab mafodotin, bortezomib and dexamethasone; DVd: daratumumab, bortezomib and dexamethasone; BPd: belantamab mafodotin, pomalidomide and dexamethasone; DVd: daratumumab, bortezomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone; DP: daratumumab and pomalidomide; DRd: daratumumab, lenalidomide and dexamethasone; NR: not reached

pomalidomide + bortezomib + dexamethasone(PVd). The BPd group showed a longer PFS (NR vs. 13.1 months) compared to the control group [4]. And a higher proportion of patients achieve CR and MRD negativity. Usmani et al. updated the results of the DREAMM-9 trial, which was a phase I dose optimization trial evaluating the efficacy of belamaf plus bortezomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone (VRd) in autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT)-ineligible NDMM [5]. 108 patients were divided into 8 cohorts (C1-8) and received different doses and dosing intervals. belamaf plus VRd produced efficient tumor responses in all cohorts.The overall response rate (ORR) was 90%.

Teclistamab

Teclistamab is an FDA-approved BsAb targeting BCMA and CD3. The safety and efficacy data from R/RMM treated with teclistamab in combination with daratumumab and pomalidomide (tec DP) in two phase 1b studies, MajesTEC-2 and TRIMM-2, have been updated [6]. After a median follow-up of 25.8 months, the ORR was 88.5%. The patients with cytokine release syndrome (CRS) were all \leq grade 2, and only one patient had experienced immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS), which was only grade 1. The results of another phase 3 MajesTEC-4/EMN30 trial showed that teclistamab alone or in combination with lenalidomide was effective as maintenance therapy for NDMM after ASCT [7]. The assessable patients were all in MRD negative CR and did not experience ICANS or highgrade CRS. Raab et al. reported the comprehensive results of the induction therapy phase of MajesTEC-5, a phase 2 trial evaluating the efficacy of a combination therapy based on teclistamab in NDMM [8]. After three cycles of treatment, all patients achieved MRD negativity after induction therapy with teclistamab combined with daratumumab, bortezomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone(DVRd) or DRd, and no patients developed ICANS or \geq grade 3 CRS.

BCMA CAR-T cell pruducts

The latest results of the phase 3 CARTITUDE-4 trial have been announced, which compared the efficacy differences between Ciltacabtagene Autoleucel (cilta-cel) and standard of care(SoC) in R/RMM [9].At a median follow-up of 34 months, the MRD negative rate of evaluable patients receiving cilta-cel treatment was 89%, compared to 38% in the SoC.In addition, 44% of patients in the cilta-cel group can be assessed as having sustained MRD negative and \geq CR for at least 12 months, compared to only 8% in the SoC. Bishop et al. reported the latest phase I clinical trial results of Anitocabtagene Autoleucel (anito-cel), in which 38 R/RMM received anito-cel treatment [10]. After a median follow-up of 34 months, the ORR was 100%, the rate of CR/sCR was 79%, and 89% of patients achieved MRD negativity. 36 patients developed CRS, including 1 case with grade 3. The preliminary results of the iMMagine-1 trial have also been reported, which was a phase 2 study of anito-cel for R/RMM [11]. After a median follow-up of 10.3 months, patients had 95% ORR with a CR/sCR rate of 62%. Among the 39 patients eligible for MRD assessment,92% of them achieved MRD negativity. Only 2% of patients experience severe CRS or ICANS.

ASH 2024 presented the prospects and progress of BCMA-targeted therapies for the treatment of MM as summarized in Tables 1 and 2. These exciting clinical trial results bring hope to MM patients, and further research is still ongoing.

Poduct Beantamab Beantamab Beantamab Beantamab Beantamab Beantamab Beantamab Clained Anio-cell Ani	References	[2–3]	[4]	[<mark>2</mark>]	[9]	[2]	<mark>8</mark>	[<mark>6</mark>]	[10]	[11]
me DRAMM-7 DRAMM-7 DRAMM-7 DRAMM-7 DRAMM-7 MajesTEC-4 MajesTEC-4 CARTIUDE-4 Nature n Brd vs. DVd	Product	Belantamab mafodotin	Belantamab mafodotin	Belantamab mafodotin	Teclistamab	Teclistamab	Teclistamab	Cital-cel	Anito-cel	Anito-cel
	Clinical trial name	DREAMM-7	DREAMM-8	DREAMM-9	MajesTEC-2 TRIMM-2	MajesTEC-4/EMN30	MajesTEC-5	CARTITUDE-4	AA	iMMagine-1
Der of prior LOT NA 1 0 2 NA 1 0 NA 4 genetics (%) 28 NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 29 genetics (%) 28 NA NA NA NA NA NA 29 genetics (%) 28 NA 10 1	Research design	BVd vs. DVd	BPd vs. PVd	Belantamab mafodotin +VRd		teclistamab + lenalido- mide /teclistamab alone	teclistam- ab + DRd/ teclistam- ab + DVRd	Cilta-cel vs. SoC	monotherapy	monotherapy
genetics (%) 28 NA NA NA NA NA NA 29 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 243 vs.251 82 vs.77 108 27 94 49 208v.511 38 900 NA 900 NA 615 1000 NA 700 790 286 460 vs.230 NA 615 1000 NA 570 790 790 281 215 vs.198 NA 25.8 14.450 and NA 570 790 790 282 215 vs.185 NA 745 NA 33.6 740 770 790 13.41 mD0r. mPFS:(NR vs.18.5) NA MA 74.9 760 790 790 260 vs.179 mD0r. (NR vs.13.8) NA MA 76 760 790 760 760 790 760 760 760 760 760 760 <td>Medium number of prior LOT</td> <td></td> <td>—</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>NA</td> <td>0</td> <td>NA</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td>	Medium number of prior LOT		—	0	2	NA	0	NA	4	4
III III II III IIII IIII IIII IIIIIIIII	High-risk cytogenetics (%)	28	NA	NA	NA	NA	AA	AA	29	NA
243 vs. 251 82 vs. 77 108 27 94 69 208 vs. 211 38 900 NA 900 85 NA NA NA 1000 28.6 46.0 vs. 23.0 NA 615 100.0 NA 57.0 79.0 28.6 46.0 vs. 23.0 NA 615 100.0 NA 57.0 79.0 28.0 215 vs. 19.8 NA 25.8 144.5.0 and NA 33.6 79.0 13.41 mD0R mD0R: (NR vs. 13.8) NA mPFS: 145.0 and NA 33.6 34.0 13.41 mD0R mD0R: (NR vs. 13.8) NA mPFS: 26.5 NA NA 33.6 34.0 (%) 25.6 vs. 100 33.0 vs. 5.0 VGPR+100-83.0 NA 37.8 mOS: NR NA (%) 25.0 vs. 100 33.0 vs. 5.0 VGPR+10.0-83.0 NA NA NA NA (%) 25.0 vs. 100 33.0 vs. 5.0 VGPR-10.0-83.0 NA NA NA NA	Phase	≡	=	_	qI	=	=	≡	_	=
900 NA 900 NA NA NA NA 1000 28.6 46.0 vs. 23.0 NA 61.5 100.0 NA 57.0 79.0 28.6 46.0 vs. 23.0 NA 61.5 100.0 NA 57.0 79.0 28.2 21.5 vs. 19.8 NA 25.8 14.4,50 and NA 33.6 74.0 NDR mPFS. (36.6 vs. mPFS. (NR vs. 13.8) NA MPFS. 25.8 NA 33.6 34.0 13.4) mDOR, mDOR. (NR vs. 13.8) NA mPFS. 26.5 NA NA 37.8 mOS. NR 34.0 (%) 25.0 vs. 10.0 33.0 vs. 5.0 VGPR+i 10.0-83.0 NA 100.0 89.0 vs. 38.0 89.0 vs. 38.0 89.0 (%) 25.0 vs. 10.0 33.0 vs. 5.0 VGPR+i 10.0-83.0 NA NA NPFS. NR vs. NA (%) MA NA NA NA 100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0<	Patients (n)	243 vs. 251	82 vs. 77	108	27	94	49	208 vs. 211	38	58
286 460 vs. 23.0 NA 61.5 100.0 NA 57.0 79.0 hs) mPFs: (36.6 vs. 19.8 NA 25.8 14.45.0 and NA 57.0 79.0 hs) mPFs: (36.6 vs. 19.8 NA 25.8 14.45.0 and NA 33.6 79.0 hs) mPFs: (36.6 vs. 19.8) NA 25.8 14.45.0 and NA 33.6 70 (13.4) mDOR, mDOR. (NR vs. 13.8) NA mPFs: 26.5 NA NA MPFs: NR vs. NA (35.6 vs. 17.8) 33.0 vs. 5.0 VGPH+100-83.0 NA 100.0 99.0 vs. 38.0 89.0 vs. 38.0 89.0 (y) 25.0 vs. 10.0 33.0 vs. 5.0 VGPH+100-83.0 NA 100.0 <td< td=""><td>ORR(%)</td><td>0.06</td><td>NA</td><td>90.0</td><td>88.5</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td><td>NA</td><td>1 00.0</td><td>95.0</td></td<>	ORR(%)	0.06	NA	90.0	88.5	NA	NA	NA	1 00.0	95.0
282 215 vs. 19.8 NA 25.8 14450 and 4.9 NA 33.6 34.0 hs) mPFS: (36.6 vs. mPFS: (Nr vs. 18.5) NA mPFS: 26.5 NA 74.5 A4.9 hs) mPFS: (36.6 vs. mPFS: (Nr vs. 18.5) NA mPFS: 26.5 NA NA 78.00S.NR NA (35.6 vs. 17.8) mDOR. (Nr vs. 13.8) MDOR. NR MDOR. NR NA NA NR NA NR (96) 13.4) mDOR. 33.0 vs. 5.0 VGPR+:100-83.0 NA 100.0 89.0 vs. 38.0 89.0 vs. 38.0 (96) NA NA NA NA 0.0	CR(%)	28.6	46.0 vs. 23.0	NA	61.5	100.0	ЧА	57.0 vs. 12.0	79.0	62.0
mPFS: (36.6 vs. mPFS: (NR vs. 18.5) NA mPFS: NR vs. NA mPFS: NR vs. NA 13.4) mDOR: mDOR: (NR vs. 13.8) mDOR: (NR vs. 13.8) mDOR: NR vs. 13.8) 37.8 mOS: NR NCT0418126 NCT04108195 NCT04108195 NCT04108195 NCT04108195 NCT04108195 NCT04108195 NCT04108195 NCT04108195 NCT041108195 NCT04	mFU(months)	28.2	21.5 vs. 19.8	NA	25.8	14.4,5.0 and 4.9	AN	33.6	34.0	10.3
25.0 vs. 10.0 33.0 vs. 5.0 VGPR+:10.0–83.0 NA 100.0 100.0 89.0 vs. 38.0 89.0 NA NA NA 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 NA NA NA 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 NC104246047 NCT04484623 NCT044091126 NCT04108195 NCT0422146 NCT05695508 NCT04181827 NCT04181825	Survival(months)	mPFS: (36.6 vs. 13.4) mDOR: (35.6 vs. 17.8)	mPFS: (NR vs. 18.5) mDOR: (NR vs. 13.8)	Ч	mPFS: 26.5 mDOR: NR	A	NA	mPFS: NR vs. 37.8 mOS: NR vs. NR	AA	mPFS: NR mOS: NR
NA NA NA 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 NA NA NA 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.0 NCT04246047 NCT04484623 NCT04722146 NCT04722146 NCT04722146 NCT05695508 NCT04181827 NCT04155749 NCT0428055 NCT04108195 NCT04108195 NCT04108195 NCT04108195	MRD negativity(%)	25.0 vs. 10.0	33.0 vs. 5.0	VGPR+:10.0-83.0 CR+:0.0-75.0	NA	100.0	100.0	89.0 vs. 38.0	89.0	92.0
NA NA NA 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.0 NCT04246047 NCT04484623 NCT04091126 NCT04722146 NCT04722146 NCT05695508 NCT04181827 NCT04155749 NCT04108195	Grade ≥ 3 CRS(%)	NA	NA	NA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	2.0
NCT04246047 NCT0484623 NCT04091126 NCT04722146 NCT04722146 NCT05695508 NCT04181827 NCT04155749 NCT04108195	Grade≥3 ICANS(%)	NA	NA	NA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	2.0
	Clinical trial identifier	NCT04246047	NCT04484623	NCT04091126	NCT04722146 NCT04108195	NCT04722146	NCT05695508		NCT04155749	NCT05396885

In MAN from ASH 2024 4+ 70+0 ÷ OFRCNAN rol triale 4 Ĉ C olde 7

Abbreviations

BCMA	B cell maturation antigen
ASH	American Society of Hematology
ADC	Antibody-drug conjugat
BsAbs	Bispecific antibodies
CAR	Chimeric antigen receptor
R/RMM	Relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma
NDMM	Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma
FDA	Food and drug administration
PFS	Progression free survival
CR	Complete response
MRD	Minimal residual disease
LOT	Line of therapy
ASCT	Autologous stem cell transplantation
ORR	Overall response rate
CRS	Cytokine release syndrome
ICANS	Immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome
Cilta-cel	Ciltacabtagene autoleucel
SoC	Standard of care
Anito-cel	Anitocabtagene autoleucel

Acknowledgements

Not applicable for this summary.

Author contributions

ZX and HL designed the study. HZ and HX drafted the manuscript. WZ, CL and RP prepared the tables. All authors participated in the process of drafting and revising the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82270175, 82470148), Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (2021J02040), Joint Funds for the Innovation of Science and Technology of Fujian Province (2023Y9173), National Key Clinical Specialty Discipline Construction Program (2021-76) and Fujian Provincial Clinical Research Center for Hematological Malignancies (2020Y2006).

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable for this summary.

Consent for publication

Not applicable for this summary.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 19 December 2024 / Accepted: 14 February 2025 Published online: 01 March 2025

References

1. Yu B, Jiang TB, Liu DL. BCMA-targeted immunotherapy for multiple myeloma. J Hematol Oncol. 2020;13(1):125.

- Hungria V, Robak P, Hus M, Zherebtsova V, Ward C, Ho PJ, et al. Belantamab Mafodotin, Bortezomib, and Dexamethasone vs. Daratumumab, Bortezomib, and Dexamethasone in Relapsed/Refractory multiple myeloma: overall survival analysis and updated efficacy outcomes of the phase 3 Dreamm-7 Trial. Blood. 2024;144(Supplement 1):772.
- Hungria V, Hus M, Robak P, Zherebtsova V, Ribas ACDA, Lacerda MPD, et al. Efficacy outcomes by minimal residual disease (MRD) negativity in patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma treated with Belantamab Mafodotin Plus Bortezomib and Dexamethasone vs. Daratumumab, Bortezomib, and Dexamethasone: analysis from the Dreamm-7 Trial. Blood. 2024;144(Supplement 1):3359.
- 4. Beksac M, Garcia EG, Delimpasi S, Robak P, Karunanithi K, Arriba FD, et al. Belantamab Mafodotin Plus Pomalidomide and Dexamethasone vs. Pomalidomide Plus Bortezomib and Dexamethasone in patients with Relapsed/ Refractory multiple myeloma: a subset analysis in patients who have received 1 prior line of Therapy Including Lenalidomide. Blood.2024;144 (Supplement 1): 4731.
- Usmani SZ, Mielnik M, Garg M, Sandhu I, Abdallah AO, Koh Y, et al. Phase I study of Belantamab Mafodotin in Combination with Standard of Care in Transplant-Ineligible newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: Dreamm-9. Updated Interim Anal Blood. 2024;144(Supplement 1):497.
- D'Souza A, LCosta LJ, San-Miguel JF, Berdeja JG, Giles DM, Touzeau C et al. Teclistamab, Daratumumab, and Pomalidomide in patients with Relapsed/ Refractory multiple myeloma: results from the Majestec-2 Cohort a and Trimm-2 Studies.Blood.2024;144 (Supplement 1): 495.
- Zamagni E, Silzle T,Špička I,Tahri SLS, Nijhof. IS,phase 3 study of Teclistamab (Tec) in combination with Lenalidomide (Len) and tec alone Versus Len alone in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (NDMM) as maintenance therapy following autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT): safety run-in (SRI) results from the Majestec-4/EMN30 Trial.Blood.2024;144 (Supplement 1): 494.
- Raab MS. Weinhold N,Kortüm KM,Krönke J,Podola L,Bertsch U,Phase 2 Study of Teclistamab-Based Induction Regimens in Patients with Transplant-Eligible (TE) Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma (NDMM): Results from the GMMG-HD10/DSMM-XX (MajesTEC-5) Trial.Blood.2024;144 (Supplement 1): 493.
- Popat R, Oriol A, Cavo M, Karlin L, Mazza IA, Roeloffzen W et al. Ciltacabtagene Autoleucel (Cilta-cel) vs Standard of Care (SoC) in patients with Lenalidomide (Len)-Refractory multiple myeloma (MM) after 1–3 lines of therapy: minimal residual disease (MRD) negativity in the phase 3 Cartitude-4 Trial. Blood.2024;144 (Supplement 1): 1032.
- Bishop MR, Rosenblatt J, Dhakal B,Raje NCD, Gaballa. MR,phase 1 study of Anitocabtagene Autoleucel for the treatment of patients with relapsed and/ or refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM): efficacy and safety with 34-Month median Follow-up.Blood.2024;144 (Supplement 1): 4825.
- Freeman CL, Dhakal B, Kaur G, Maziarz RT, Callander N, Sperling AS, et al. Phase 2 Registrational Study of Anitocabtagene Autoleucel for the treatment of patients with relapsed and/or refractory multiple myeloma: preliminary results from the IMMagine-1 trial. Blood. 2024;144(Supplement 1):1031.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.